

Dear Judge Zobel,

6-9-09.

you noted For Alfred Tranklers Appeal and my own that none of our constitutional rights were violated. But that's not really the truth. my rights were violated during my 1998 Guilty Plea when I wasn't offered a psychological evaluation.

IT must Be noted that Judge Harrington was unaware of my extensive mental Health Background. So, He thus didn't know any better and was fooled by the Prosecution and my ATTORNEYS.

In the 1956 Supreme court ruling Bishop VS. U.S. The conviction of a mentally incompetent person was a denial of due process. Where doubt exists as to a persons mental competency, the failure to conduct a proper inquiry is a deprivation of his constitutional rights.

So The Plea Agreement must Be voided.

Your Honor, a Defendant who Hears voices and Has delusions of grandeur, surely cannot sign a Plea Agreement without first being assessed as to his mental capacity.

#2.

and further, when asked by Judge
Herrington as to the Defendants mental
stability, Attorney Kethlowall responded
that the Defendant had a history but
that the Defendant was ok.

Attorney Kethlowall as far as I know
does not have a license or experience
or expertise to testify in any
capacity as to the Defendants mental
state.

I beseech you your Honor, a
terrible injustice has occurred here.
Two innocent men are in prison.
Please order a new trial.

The Ally

THOMAS SHAY 19193038

F.I. Memphis

P.O. Box 34550

Unit S.H.U.

Memphis, TN. 38134