



United States Attorney  
District of Massachusetts

1003 J.W. McCormack Post Office and Courthouse  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

April 12, 1994

BY HAND

Roger A. Cox, Esquire  
89 Broad Street  
14th Floor  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Re: William David Lindholm

Dear Roger:

This will respond to your letter of April 1, 1994 concerning Mr. Lindholm.

I agree entirely with your letter. I am mindful, however, of Mr. Lindholm's sworn testimony during cross-examination by Attorney Segal during the Trenkler trial:

"Q: . . . You don't want any benefit for testimony you're giving here today; is that fair to say?

A: I'll go on the record to say that I'm not going to ask for any benefit, rewards, inducements any time in the future."

(Trial Transcript, vol. 13, pgs. 123-24). In light of this statement on the record before Judge Zobel, is it still Mr. Lindholm's desire to seek a sentence reduction? After you and he have conferred about this, please let me know.


If Mr. Lindholm decides that he still wishes to pursue a Rule 35(b) motion with Judge Woodlock, we are certainly willing to inform the Court of the full nature and extent of Mr. Lindholm's cooperation and testimony in the Trenkler case. In the interest of candor and completeness, as part of any government submission we would probably bring the above-quoted testimony by Mr. Lindholm to Judge Woodlock's attention.

Once you have conferred with your client, drop a note to AUSA Libby or me. We appreciate your continuing courtesy and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

DONALD K. STERN  
United States Attorney

By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PAUL V. KELLY  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

cc: Frank Libby, Jr., AUSA